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As feminists and as economists it is important to begin with context and history—both the personal and the professional. Our vision of economics was shaped by the political and cultural movements of the 1960s and 1970s, including the antiwar movement, the civil rights movement and the women’s movement. An important insight of this era is expressed in the slogan “the personal is political.” First as graduate students in economics, now as professors in the discipline, we’ve come to appreciate the relevance of this truth. The decision to study economics, like economics itself, is political. But the politics of economics need not reflect the fear of scarcity or the narcissism of self-interest. Instead, feminist economics rests on a politics of inclusion, the recognition of mutual reciprocities, and social justice.

When we began to study the discipline, no one told us that in its formative years many women wrote and lectured in economics. Here, as in other disciplines, professionalization was accompanied by the exclusion of women. The result was a decidedly androcentric approach, one that accepts male superiority and female subordination as normal and mutually beneficial.

In economics, as in virtually every other academic area, the discovery and recovery of women’s contributions to the field did not occur until a critical mass of appropriately credentialed women began to ask new questions and search for their predecessors. In the second half of the twentieth century more and more women earned doctorates in the discipline. This led the premier organization in economics, the American Economic Association, to establish the Committee for the Status of Women in the Economics Profession (CSWEP) in 1971. But in economics, unlike the other social sciences or the humanities, it took another twenty years for a self-consciously feminist community of economists to emerge. It was not until the early 1990s that the International Association for Feminist Economics (IAFFE) was formed and in 1995 the first volume of the IAFFE journal, *Feminist Economics*, was published.
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