

Ivory Towers and Nationalist Minds

Ivory Towers and Nationalist Minds

*Universities, Leadership, and the
Development of the American State*

MARK R. NEMEC

THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN PRESS *Ann Arbor*

Copyright © by the University of Michigan 2006
All rights reserved
Published in the United States of America by
The University of Michigan Press
Manufactured in the United States of America
⊗ Printed on acid-free paper

2009 2008 2007 2006 4 3 2 1

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system,
or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical,
or otherwise, without the written permission of the publisher.

A CIP catalog record for this book is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Nemec, Mark R.

Ivory towers and nationalist minds : universities, leadership, and
the development of the American state / Mark R. Nemec.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN-13: 978-0-472-09912-2 (cloth : alk. paper)

ISBN-10: 0-472-09912-4 (cloth : alk. paper)

ISBN-13: 978-0-472-06912-5 (pbk. : alk. paper)

ISBN-10: 0-472-06912-8 (pbk. : alk. paper)

1. Education, Higher—Political aspects—United States. 2. Higher
education and state—United States. 3. Education, Higher—United
States—History. I. Title.

LC173.N46 2006
379.73—dc22

2005024421

In loving memory of Kristen Diane Nemec (1971-1997)

Contents

Acknowledgments	ix
1. Ideas and Institutions in American Political Development	1
2. Why the University? A Uniquely Positioned Institution	23
3. The Morrill Act of 1862 and Coordination: Shaping the American University and the American State	47
4. Beyond the Morrill Act: Developing Partnerships in the “Loosely Coupled” Era	77
5. Beyond Service: The Development of Universities as Independent Agents	109
6. The Origins of Formal Alignment: The National University Movement and the Creation of the AAU	143
7. Working for Service: The Rise of Formal Alignment and the Furtherance of Agency	179
8. Facilitating Shared Service: Formal Alignment and Active Partnerships	215
9. The Modern University and the Question of Contemporary Public Service	241
A Note on Sources	253
Notes	257
Bibliography	281
Index	287

Acknowledgments

Any project of this nature depends on the support and patience of those around the author as much as it requires the effort and dedication of the author himself. With that in mind, I would like to take this opportunity to thank those who have helped me over the years in bringing this project to life. While I hope to be comprehensive, I realize that no catalog is complete. I apologize to any whom I might have overlooked in this one exercise of memory. Please rest assured that your support is not forgotten.

I would like to begin by thanking those most involved in this project from the outset. From my earliest days as a graduate student, Nicholas Steneck, John Kingdon, Ann Chih Lin, and Douglas Dion showed remarkable interest in my work and tolerance for my work patterns. Additionally, their respect for my ideas and opinions as well as for each other's helped enormously in the formative stages of this work. Individually, they contributed in a marked fashion.

Nick Steneck has been an exceptional champion whose enthusiasm and encouragement beyond my years as a graduate student have brought this work to fruition. I never took a course from Nick, and yet he has taught me a great deal. From allowing me to serve as a perennial graduate student instructor for their course on the history of the University of Michigan, to tolerating my occasional frustrated outburst on the golf course, to helping guide my research and application of the historical method, Nick and his wife, Peg, have been enormously useful and encouraging resources.

In taking his seminar on American politics, serving a number of semesters as his graduate student instructor, and working with him as chairman of my preliminary and dissertation committees, I have found John Kingdon to be a model as a scholar and teacher, and I am grateful for his guidance. I had the privilege of taking Ann Chih Lin's first graduate seminar in public policy and her ambitious (in the context of Michigan's research tradition) seminar in qualitative methods. Beyond

her courses, Ann has always been an exceptionally attentive and challenging reader, offering insight and demanding clarification in a most sympathetic and supportive way. From conveying the fundamentals of linear algebra to surveying the details of American political development, Doug Dion broached questions in a creative and unique way. His suggestions for this project have continued in that tradition and have helped significantly.

Maturing this work into a manuscript has been a pleasure. I have had the good fortune to find assistance all along the way. Notably, Scott Allard has brought unwavering encouragement and enormous discipline and insight as a reader. The support of my editor, Jim Reische, and all at the University of Michigan Press has been invaluable. The anonymous reviewers Jim selected were exceedingly attentive and helpful.

For affording me a unique opportunity to witness the modern administrative state in action, I thank Los Angeles County Supervisor for the Fourth District Deane Dana and his successor, Don Knabe, along with their staffs—especially Anna Cervantes, Gail LeGros, Mishal Montgomery, and Tim Riley.

I wish to acknowledge the support of Tiffany Pollard throughout much of this process. While we somewhat abruptly found ourselves taking separate paths before it was completed, I am grateful for her patience and involvement in the time we shared.

Writing is a state of place as much as a state of mind. For their hospitality to me and my laptop, special mention is due to Michael and Ann Finson of Concord, Massachusetts; John Hittell of Rincon, Puerto Rico; and Bill and Susan Wheeler, sometimes of Chatham, Massachusetts.

To the members of the Michigan, Santa Monica, White Plains, Charlotte, and Potomac Athletic Club rugby sides, I offer thanks for the invaluable diversion they provided.

My parents, Richard and Constance Nemeč, encouraged my academic bent (I still remember a family tour of the University of Oregon in the summer of 1976, after I had just turned seven) and supported my undergraduate and graduate studies with exceptional excitement and confidence even in the most difficult times. Their unceasing faith has been of value beyond calculation. I only hope I can offer some sense of its worth.

In addition to sharing the immeasurable gifts of our sons, Alexander and Theodore, my wife, Suzanne, was instrumental to the completion of this manuscript, thanks to her humor, her generosity, and her passion. She is the subject of my utmost gratitude.

Finally, I dedicate this work to the memory of my sister, Kristen Diane Nemeč. While she never read a page of this work, her spirit is in every word. I miss you, Kristen. I am always grateful to be your brother.