

WHEN  
COURTS &  
CONGRESS  
COLLIDE 

WHEN  
COURTS &  
CONGRESS  
COLLIDE

---

*The Struggle for Control of  
America's Judicial System* ∞

CHARLES GARDNER GEYH

THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN PRESS  
*Ann Arbor*

Copyright © by the University of Michigan 2006  
All rights reserved  
Published in the United States of America by  
The University of Michigan Press  
Manufactured in the United States of America  
⊗ Printed on acid-free paper

2009 2008 2007 2006 4 3 2 1

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored  
in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form  
or by any means, electronic, mechanical, or otherwise,  
without the written permission of the publisher.

*A CIP catalog record for this book is available from the British Library.*

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Geyh, Charles Gardner.

When courts and congress collide : the struggle for control of  
America's judicial system / Charles Gardner Geyh.

p. cm.

Includes index.

ISBN-13: 978-0-472-09922-1 (cloth : alk. paper)

ISBN-10: 0-472-09922-1 (cloth : alk. paper)

1. Judicial power—United States. 2. Legislative power—United States.  
I. Title.

KF5130.G49 2006  
347.73'12—dc22

2005031392

*To my family of origin—*

Patricia Keeney Geyh,  
Gardner Asahel Keeney (1898–1982), *and*  
Prudence Martin Keeney (1901–91)

*—for their unqualified love and support,  
from Milwaukee to Minong and back*

# Contents

<i>Acknowledgments</i>	ix
Introduction	I
1   The Origins of American Judicial Independence and Accountability	23
2   Congressional Oversight of the Judicial Branch and the Emergence of Customary Independence	51
3   The Decline and Fall of Impeachment as a Means to Control Judicial Decision Making	113
4   Judicial Appointments and the Prospective Accountability of Judicial Nominees to the U.S. Senate	171
5   The Role of the Courts in Preserving Customary Independence	223
6   The Dynamic Equilibrium	253
<i>Notes</i>	283
<i>Index</i>	323

## Acknowledgments ∞

THIS IS MY FIRST BOOK. That is probably why I have found myself struggling with the temptation to acknowledge those who have contributed not just to the development of this monograph but to the development of me, from J. D. Salinger and JFK to the nuns at Alverno College Elementary School and my childhood hamster, Stubby. I am pleased to report, however, that after grappling through several drafts, I have emerged, if not triumphant, then at least with my worst excesses in check.

First and foremost, I thank my spouse, Emily Field Van Tassel. I know that authors often acknowledge their partners at times like these, but I *really* need to acknowledge mine. Emily has published a body of work that is quite familiar to students of federal court history generally and judicial impeachments and resignations in particular. As originally conceived, the present book was to be a jointly authored project, and chapter 1 is indeed derived in significant part from my section of an article written by the two of us. Issues of schedule and timing ultimately conspired against our following through on plans to write the book together, but her impact on the project remains profound. I rely heavily on her scholarship throughout the chapter on impeachment, and more broadly, she has influenced the direction and scope of the work through innumerable conversations and manuscript edits. The expression of thanks that I offer here grossly understates the extent of my dependence on her support and guidance

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

throughout the life of this project, to say nothing of my admiration and love.

A number of intrepid scholars have given generously of their time to endure and comment on drafts culminating in one or more chapters of this book, including Professors Pat Baude, Barry Friedman, Luis Fuentes-Rohwer, Mike Gerhardt, Howard Gillman, Roger Hartley, Bill Henderson, Dawn Johnsen, Mitch Pickerill, Judith Resnik, Lauren Robel, Bill Ross, Alan Tarr, Carol Tobias, and Elisabeth Zoller. It is customary to add that I take responsibility for any errors that remain, and so I do (although it seems only fair that if I followed their advice, then I should be permitted to blame them for the resulting mistakes). Thanks also go to Professors Jeannine Bell, Jim Pfander, and David Williams, for their help with my book proposal, and to Professors Fred Aman, Neal Devins, Mark Graber, and Gene Shreve and attorney David Lee, for their counsel and support. This project would not have come to fruition without Jim Reische, my editor at the University of Michigan Press, whose guidance proved indispensable and whose sense of humor made him a joy to work with.

Discussions of seemingly modest consequence at the time sometimes prove pivotal in retrospect: I would thus like to acknowledge Professor Jim Wilson, for his thoughts on constitutional conventions during the formative stages of this project, and Professor Neil Kinkopf, for his coffee, company, and conversation on constitutional norms, which served as an intellectual Club Med amid the squalor of my temporary environs. Finally, I cannot say enough about my friend and mentor Professor Steve Burbank, who was instrumental to my joining the professoriate, who deserves credit for much of whatever success I have enjoyed in this profession, and who has given tirelessly of his time to critique my work, including a draft of this book.

On a related front, I would like to thank a number of institutions for hosting conferences or programs where I developed some of the ideas expressed in this book: the American Judicature Society, the Brennan Center for Justice, the Indiana University School of Law at Bloomington, the Indiana University School of Law at Indianapolis, the Indianapolis Law Club, and the University of Pennsylvania Law School. I would also like to acknowledge the *Chicago-Kent Law Review*, the *Indiana Law Journal*, the *New York University Law*

*Review*, and Sage Publications, for their permission to republish, in modified form, work that I first published with them.

Assembling the primary source materials for this book required my research assistants—Matt Bruno, Philip Adam Davis, Robert Downey, Joe Ferino, Dave Giampetroni, Aleka Jones, Ryan O’Conner, Aaron Raff, Chris Rasmussen, Mickey Weber, and Barbara Zwecker—to inhale the dust of ancient records, overcome the nausea of revolving microfilm, and weather the boredom of countless hours in windowless rooms. They have my eternal gratitude, although if I were them, I would just as soon swap the gratitude for better pay and working conditions. Thanks likewise go to Patricia Gray, for her superb technical, administrative, and secretarial support, and to Lara Gose, for service above and beyond the call as my administrative assistant and in-house editor.

Throughout the gestation of this manuscript, I have worked with the American Bar Association on a variety of projects (relating to judicial independence, accountability, and conduct) that have informed the views developed here. I would like to express my deepest affection for and appreciation to Ned Madeira, who chaired two ABA commissions I was privileged to serve as reporter, in the course of which we taught each other everything we knew about judicial independence. Thanks likewise go to past ABA president A. P. Carlton, for his leadership and support of this project, and to the ABA staff—Seth Andersen, Denise Cardman, and especially Eileen Gallagher. Finally, I would like to tip my hat (if I ever wore one) to a great scholar, administrator, and friend, Dr. Luke Bierman (director of the Institute for Emerging Issues at North Carolina State and former director of the ABA Justice Center), for his years of thoughtful advice and assistance.

My perspective on the relationship between judges and legislators has been influenced to no small extent by, well, judges and legislators. I owe much to the insights and intellectual energy of Senator Joe Biden, with whom I developed a law school course on courts and Congress (at Widener University in the early 1990s) that created an early forum to explore the issues in play here. Thanks likewise go to former congressman Bob Kastenmeier, whose House Judiciary Committee’s Subcommittee on Courts I served as counsel before joining the academy, whose National Commission on Judicial Discipline and



## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Removal I served as consultant after he left office, and whose approach to congressional oversight of the federal courts gave me my first exposure to the dynamic equilibrium—between judicial independence and accountability—that I describe and defend here. I am also indebted to Judge Bob Katzmann, for leading the way with his own pathbreaking scholarship and for lending his aid to this project, and to current professor and former judge, congressman, and White House counsel Ab Mikva, whose support was instrumental to the publication of this book and whose professional life experience—relayed via an incomparable capacity for storytelling—has considerably deepened my understanding of several events discussed in this work.

This project was generously supported with a sabbatical leave from Indiana University and by annual summer research grants from the IU School of Law. As long as I am on the subject of IU, I would like to thank my wonderful colleagues and administrators at the law school (many of whom I have already acknowledged by name), for their optimism, encouragement, and unparalleled collegiality.

Finally, I extend special thanks to my teenage daughters, Sarah Van Tassel and Hallie Geyh, without whom I would have finished this book years ago. It is better to have an incomplete manuscript than an incomplete life. I will not allude to my love and affection for them in a public place, knowing that if I did, they would gently remind me that I am a “freak” and a “loser.” But I note for the record that the absence of such an allusion here is attributable solely to my fear of abuse.