

Where Women Run

Where Women Run

*Gender and Party
in the American States*

Kira Sanbonmatsu

Copyright © by the University of Michigan 2006
All rights reserved
Published in the United States of America by
The University of Michigan Press
Manufactured in the United States of America
♻️ Printed on acid-free paper

2009 2008 2007 2006 4 3 2 1

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, or otherwise, without the written permission of the publisher.

A CIP catalog record for this book is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Sanbonmatsu, Kira, 1970–

Where women run : gender and party in the American states / Kira
Sanbonmatsu.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN-13: 978-0-472-09934-4 (cloth : alk. paper)

ISBN-10: 0-472-09934-5 (cloth : alk. paper)

ISBN-13: 978-0-472-06934-7 (pbk. : alk. paper)

ISBN-10: 0-472-06934-9 (pbk. : alk. paper)

1. Women in politics—United States—States. 2. Women political
candidates—United States—States. 3. Political parties—United
States—States. 4. Representative government and representation—United
States—States. I. Title.

HQ1236.5.U6S265 2006

324.082'0973—dc22

2005033819

For my father and mother, Yoshiro and Marianne

CONTENTS

	<i>List of Figures</i>	<i>ix</i>
	<i>List of Tables</i>	<i>xi</i>
	<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>xiii</i>
CHAPTER 1.	Gender and Representation	1
CHAPTER 2.	Gender and Candidacy: Supply, Demand, and Political Parties	14
CHAPTER 3.	The Recruitment and Nomination Practices of the Political Parties	37
CHAPTER 4.	Candidate Gender and Electoral Politics	87
CHAPTER 5.	Barriers, Opportunities, and the Gendered Path to Office	120
CHAPTER 6.	The Pattern of Women's Representation across States	156
CHAPTER 7.	Conclusion	182
	<i>Appendix</i>	203
	<i>References</i>	227
	<i>Index</i>	243

FIGURES

2.1. Percentage of Women in State Legislatures, 1971–2005	18
3.1. Democratic Share of House Seats, 1973–2005	41
3.2. Legislative Party Recruitment and Nomination Practices	71
3.3. State Party Recruitment and Nomination Practices	73
4.1. Women’s Representation, 1979–2005	90
4.2. Alabama: Women in House Caucus	90
4.3. Colorado: Women in House Caucus	91
4.4. Iowa: Women in House Caucus	91
4.5. Massachusetts: Women in House Caucus	92
4.6. North Carolina: Women in House Caucus	92
4.7. Ohio: Women in House Caucus	93
4.8. Number of Women State Representatives by Party	111
4.9. Percentage of Women in House Caucus by Party	111
5.1. Ohio Women State Legislators	149

T A B L E S

1.1.	Percentage of State Legislators Who Are Women	7
1.2.	Data Sources	10
3.1.	Case Study Summary, 2001	39
3.2.	Partisan Composition and Legislative Professionalism, 2001	40
3.3.	Interview Subjects: Characteristics	43
3.4.	Interview Subjects: Legislator Characteristics	44
3.5.	Existing Measures of Party Organizational Strength	66
3.6.	State and Legislative Parties Place Themselves on Candidate Recruitment Scale	73
3.7.	Party Leaders Place Local, State, and Legislative Parties on Candidate Recruitment Scale	74
3.8.	Bivariate Correlations: Legislative Party Recruitment and Nomination Activities	75
3.9.	What Percentage of Nonincumbent Candidates Are Self-Starters?	77
3.10.	Recruitment and the Parties' Affiliated Interest Groups	78
3.11.	Determinants of Legislative and State Party Recruitment Activities	83
3.12.	Determinants of Legislative and State Party Gatekeeping Activities	84
4.1.	Women State Legislators, 2001	88
4.2.	Women State Legislators by Chamber, 2001	89
4.3.	Women's Presence as 2002 Candidates	93
4.4.	State Public Opinion and the Social Eligibility Pool	94
4.5.	Party Leader Beliefs about Candidate Gender and Electoral Advantage	115

4.6.	Party Leader Beliefs about Women's Electability	116
5.1.	Ohio Candidates: Perceptions of Gender and the Recruitment Process	139
5.2.	The Decision to Run for the Legislature	151
5.3.	Ohio Candidates: Perceptions of Gender and Electoral Advantage	151
5.4.	Perceptions of Party Leader Beliefs about Gender and Electoral Advantage	152
6.1.	Effect of Party Practices on Women's Presence as Candidates	161
6.2.	Effect of Party Practices on Women's Presence as Legislators	164
6.3.	Party Organizational Strength and Women's Presence as Legislators	168
6.4.	Perceived Importance of Candidate Qualifications	169
6.5.	Summary of the Effects of Perceived Qualifications on Women's Representation	171
6.6.	Effect of Women's Groups on Women's Presence as Candidates	173
6.7.	Effect of Women's Groups on Women's Presence as Legislators	174
6.A1.	Effect of Legislative and State Party Practices on Women's Presence as Candidates and Legislators without Controlling for Region	176
6.A2.	Summary of Legislative and State Party Practices with State Contextual Controls on Women's Presence as Candidates	177
6.A3.	Summary of Legislative and State Party Practices with State Contextual Controls on Women's Presence as Legislators	178
6.A4.	Effect of Legislative and State Party Practices on Women's Presence as Candidates and Legislators, Reduced Sample	179
6.A5.	Effect of Local Party Practices on Women's Representation	180
6.A6.	Summary of Party Effects on Women's Representation with State Contextual Controls	180

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

More than two hundred state legislators, party officials, activists, officeholders, and other experts took time out of their busy schedules to assist my research. They were gracious to grant me interviews, sharing their insights into their states' politics as well as their personal experiences. In addition, nearly four hundred state party and state legislative leaders from across the country and state legislative candidates from Ohio completed mail surveys about their parties and their experiences. I owe a great debt to all of these individuals. This book would not have been written without their participation. We political scientists depend on the practitioners of politics to share with us what they do and why; in turn, we are quite grateful for their willingness to do so. The interviews and surveys were invaluable for the light they cast on both party practices and the relationship between gender and candidacy. In this book I have only begun to make sense of the points of view revealed in the interviews. To be sure, my analysis makes full use of all interviews and surveys. But in the interests of advancing a concise account of politics in each state, I am not able to give a full hearing to all of the important details from the interviews. I plan to continue to draw on these insights in my future work.

Throughout this project, from my initial ideas through the various stages of data collection, Paul Allen Beck provided immeasurable support and advice. I am grateful for his assistance in making this research possible and for sharing his expertise about political parties.

I owe yet another tremendous debt to the Center for American Women and Politics (CAWP) at the Eagleton Institute of Politics at Rutgers University. CAWP's research piqued my initial interest in women's representation in the states. I am grateful to CAWP for the Research Grant for Junior

Faculty, the invitation to conduct research at the 2001 Forum for Women State Legislators, my year at CAWP as a visiting professor, and their data on women candidates. My many conversations with Sue Carroll and Debbie Walsh shaped my thinking about this project in important ways. I am also grateful to Eagleton Institute Director Ruth Mandel, Gilda Morales of CAWP, and the rest of the first-rate CAWP and Eagleton faculty and staff for their advice and assistance.

A host of individuals advised me in the course of my research or commented on parts of the manuscript: Chris Afendulis, John Aldrich, Herb Asher, Thad Beyle, Barbara Burrell, Dianne Bystrom, Debra Dodson, Morris Fiorina, Linda Fowler, Richard Fox, Claudine Gay, Michael Hagen, Mala Htun, Karl Kurtz, Jennifer Lawless, Jerome Maddox, Gary Moncrief, Pippa Norris, Maureen Rand Oakley, Zoe Oxley, Theda Skocpol, Wendy Smooth, Peverill Squire, and Walt Stone. Maryann Barakso carefully read every page of the manuscript, for which I am grateful. I thank my colleagues at Ohio State for their support and interest in my research and for making the department a great place to work. I benefited from the comments of seminar participants at the University of Maryland, Rutgers University, and Stanford University. Nico Flannery deserves a special thanks for housing me during my trip to the Massachusetts State House. I greatly appreciate the support of my editor at the University of Michigan Press, Jim Reische, and the sage and careful advice of the anonymous reviewers. I also thank Heidi Bruns, Nanaho Hanada, Emily Kerns, Huma Khan, Amanda King, Javonne Paul, Angela Stanley, and Lyndsey Young, for their invaluable research assistance. Lew Horner and the staff at the OSU Center for Survey Research conducted the mail surveys and provided useful advice. I thank the OSU Department of Political Science and College of Social and Behavioral Sciences for research support. In addition, I received a Coca-Cola Grant for Research on Women and Gender from the OSU Department of Women's Studies. I, of course, am solely responsible for the book and any errors within.

As always, I am grateful for the love and support of my family and friends. Meriting a special thanks is my Dad, who read the entire manuscript with an eye to a general audience. The additions of my nephew and niece, Emmanuel and Karina, to the family have made life a lot more fun. I also thank Tim for his suggestions on the book from start to finish. Tim met me—and this project—with his usual good humor and good advice.