

Index

- accommodations, 7–8, 51, 103n. 58, 106, 114, 122–23; defining reasonableness of accommodation, 56, 98; as implicit taxes, 92–93; misperceptions of costs, 8, 81; retrofitting v. prospective accommodations, 8, 52–53, 55
- Ackerman, Bruce, 14n. 16, 19
- administrative costs, 36–37, 39, 67–68, 77, 94–95, 109, 110–12, 126
- allocative efficiency, 76–77, 99, 122, 125–26
- Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), 7–9, 86; constitutional considerations, 16n. 19, 17, 22, 25–27, 29, 31–32, 40–41, 50–56, 68; as implicit spending program, 103n. 58, 106, 108–9; as implicit tax on those providing accommodations, 92–93
- antidiscrimination norms, 8–9, 100, 121, 123
- benefit taxes, 50, 70, 77, 79, 98–99
- bureaucratic self-interest, 78–79, 113, 117
- causation of harm, 48–50, 54
- Coase, Ronald, 48–49, 53, 84n. 17
- cost and cost-plus procurement, 77, 107–12, 126
- deadweight loss, 65, 93, 111
- deliberate government inaction, 4–5, 70, 75–76, 77, 79, 81–84, 102
- disemployment effects, 82–83, 85–87
- Dolan v. City of Tigard*, 12n. 11, 16–17, 26, 32–33, 35–40, 49–50, 52–54, 55, 60, 65, 67–70
- economic rents, 57–59, 66–67, 80–81n. 11, 87–88, 91–92
- Epstein, Richard, 11, 14n. 16, 116n. 3
- Equal Protection and taxation, 60–63, 100–101, 125
- exactions, 50, 61; constitutional considerations, 16–17, 32–41; as optimal taxes, 66, 86–88
- exploitation of contractual partners, 10n. 8, 12–13, 24–25, 45–48, 69
- fair distribution of tax burdens, 64n. 37, 65n. 38, 67n. 42, 96–103, 126
- fiscal illusion, 102n. 54, 113, 117, 119–20
- Hall v. City of Santa Barbara*, 13n. 15, 43n. 2, 56–59
- Hawaii Housing Authority v. Midkiff*, 18n. 23, 58–59
- Heart of Atlanta Motel, Inc. v. United States*, 20n. 30, 25n. 44, 26
- Hodel v. Irving*, 20n. 29, 23, 37
- horizontal equity, 64n. 37, 77, 99–103, 126
- implicit spending programs, 13n. 15; effectiveness of private delegate, 77–78, 105–6, 108–9; ‘public’ v. ‘private’ beneficiaries 43, 46, 56–59, 122

- implicit taxation, 54–56, 59–60, 63–64, 122
- income taxes, 60, 63, 66–67, 72–73, 94
- interchangeability of regulation and tax-and-spend, 1–6, 44, 56, 122, 125
- just compensation, 18
- Kaplow, Louis, 30n. 55, 76–78, 89n. 29, 96–98, 99n. 46, 100n. 47, 100n. 50
- Keystone Bituminous Coal Association v. DeBenedictis*, 24–25, 28n. 49, 31n. 58
- libertarianism, 9–11, 98; challenges to unduly broad-based taxes, 69–70; distinctions from Justice Scalia’s interventionist views, 12–13
- Loretto v. Teleprompter Manhattan CATV Corp.*, 19n. 28, 20–23, 25n. 42, 26, 37, 41
- Lucas v. South Carolina Coastal Council*, 13n. 15, 27–29, 34n. 62, 49
- majority overreaching, 70–71, 102–3, 113, 115, 117–18, 126
- Miller v. Schoene*, 25n. 42, 48
- monopolists, 22–23, 46–47, 57–59, 77, 104–5
- New York Rapid Transit v. City of New York*, 61
- Nollan v. California Coastal Commission*, 12n. 11, 16–17, 23, 24, 33–36, 38, 44, 48, 52, 67n. 43, 68
- Nordlinger v. Hahn*, 58, 63n. 34, 64n. 37, 67n. 43
- nuisance, 10n. 8, 13n. 15, 27–29, 48, 68–69, 121
- opacity: of regulation, 113, 115–17, 119, 126; of spending programs, 113, 118–19, 126; of tax incidence, 118, 126
- optimal taxes, 65, 76, 88, 91–92
- Penn Central Transportation Co. v. City of New York*, 25n. 42, 29–31, 33, 48
- Pennell v. City of San Jose*, 11n. 12, 44, 46–48, 69, 94n. 37
- perverse incentives, 65, 67n. 41, 82–83, 84, 86–87, 92–93, 104–5, 109–10
- Poletown Neighborhood Council v. City of Detroit*, 58–59
- price controls, 21–22, 46–48, 56–59, 77, 88, 103, 106n. 65, 116
- privatization of service provision, 103–6
- property taxes, 60–64, 103n. 57
- Pruneyard Shopping Center v. Robins*, 20n. 30, 24, 26
- public choice theory, 46; and libertarianism 10–11; and regulation, 119–20; and tax-and-spend, 118, 126
- public use requirement, 18–19, 43, 58–59
- rational expectations: limits on, 89–91; and optimal taxation, 76–77, 88–91
- redistribution of income, 11, 45, 47–48, 59, 69, 76–77, 96, 121–22
- regulation, 44–46, 69, 75–77, 79–80, 85–93; as implicit spending, 43, 77–78, 103–6; as implicit taxation, 44, 46, 76, 88–93, 98; Justice Scalia’s view, 44–46, 68–69, 125; and rights violations, 98, 114, 121–24
- regulatory takings, 3, 15; ‘all’ value destroyed, 27–29; exactions, 32–41; title seized or possession transferred, 18–27; value diminished, 29–32
- rights as “trumps,” 6, 114, 121–24, 126
- Rose-Ackerman, Susan, 119–20
- sales taxes, 60, 64–65
- Scalia, Justice Antonin, 12n. 14, 27n. 48, 28nn. 49–50, 40, 44–49, 68–69, 78–79n. 5, 125
- Scherer, Frederic, 107n. 66, 108
- Shavell, Steven, 76–78, 89n. 29

social costs, 12, 45, 48–49, 53
Stiglitz, Joseph, 88–89, 100n. 48

tax bases, 54–56, 59–73, 99

transparency of distributive transfers,
56, 58, 62–63, 78–79

user fees, 4–5, 23, 50, 70–71, 75, 77, 79,
84, 98–99, 102

vertical equity, 96–99, 100n. 46,
126

welfare benefits, 82–83, 121, 123

Yee v. City of Escondido, 13n. 15,
43n. 2, 56–59

zoning, 16, 78, 121