

Defusing Democracy



Defusing Democracy

Central Bank Autonomy
and the Transition from
Authoritarian Rule

Delia M. Boylan

Ann Arbor

THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN PRESS

Copyright © by the University of Michigan 2001
All rights reserved
Published in the United States of America by
The University of Michigan Press
Manufactured in the United States of America
⊗ Printed on acid-free paper

2004 2003 2002 2001 4 3 2 1

No part of this publication may be reproduced,
stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form
or by any means, electronic, mechanical, or otherwise,
without the written permission of the publisher.

A CIP catalog record for this book is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Boylan, Delia M.

Defusing democracy : central bank autonomy and the transition
from authoritarian rule / Delia M. Boylan.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-472-11214-7

1. Banks and banking, Central—Political aspects. 2.
Democratization. 3. Banks and banking, Central—Political
aspects—Chile. 4. Democratization—Chile. 5. Banks and
banking, Central—Political aspects—Mexico. 6.
Democratization—Mexico. I. Title.

HG1811 .B69 2001

306.2—dc21

2001000386

For Lloyd



Contents

List of Tables and Figures	ix
Acknowledgments	xi
1. Introduction: The Challenge of Democratic Consolidation	1
Part 1. Theory	
2. Central Bank Autonomy: A Redistributive Perspective	23
3. Preemptive Strike: Central Bank Autonomy in the Transition from Authoritarian Rule	41
Part 2. Empirics	
4. Authoritarians under Siege: Chile's Democratic Rebirth	75
5. Imminent Threat, Ironclad Response: The 1989 Chilean Central Bank Reform	108
6. Technocracy under Threat: Mexico's Democratic Awakening	139
7. Ambiguous Threat, Ambivalent Response: The 1993 Mexican Central Bank Reform	169
8. Central Bank Reform in Comparative Perspective	222
Part 3. Conclusions	
9. Democratic Consolidation and Institutional Theory: Broadening the Debate	241
References	257
Index	289



Tables and Figures

Table 2.1. Alternative Measures of Central Bank Independence, 1980–89	38
Table 4.1. Selected Domestic Macroeconomic Indicators for Chile, 1971–89	77
Table 4.2. Chile: Sectoral Economic Trends, 1974–82	80
Table 4.3. Chile: Selected International Economic Indicators, 1970–89	86
Table 5.1. Chile: Selected Domestic Macroeconomic Indicators, 1990–94	127
Table 5.2. Chile: Volume and Composition of Medium- and Long-Term Private Capital Flows, 1980–94	136
Table 6.1. Mexico: Selected Domestic Macroeconomic Indicators, 1980–93	141
Table 6.2. Mexico: Selected International Economic Indicators, 1980–93	143
Table 6.3. Typology of Mexico’s Manufacturing Sector	147
Table 6.4. Mexico: Indicators for the Ten Largest Private National Groups and Their Links with the Large Firms, 1992	150
Table 7.1. Mexico: Electoral Competition in National Elections, 1964–94	191
Table 7.2. Mexico: Federal Deputy Election Results by State, 1991–97	195
Table 9.1. Chile versus Mexico	242
Fig. 3.1. The degree of threat	47

Fig. 4.1. Chile: Industrial restructuring by sector (% of GNP)	81
Fig. 4.2. Chile: Industrial restructuring (% change with respect to production potential)	84
Fig. 4.3. Chile: Industrial restructuring (% of GNP)	85
Fig. 6.1. Mexico: Support for the ruling party's presidential candidate, 1946–94	163
Fig. A7.1. Mexico: Nonperforming loans, 1989–94	213
Fig. A7.2. Mexico: Quarterly growth of GDP, 1992–94	215



Acknowledgments

Among the people whose thoughts have stimulated my own are several professors, colleagues, and friends whose contribution to my work is hard to measure.

I am first and foremost very grateful to my dissertation committee, who supervised this project when it first came into being: Terry Karl (chair), Geoffrey Garrett, Terry Moe, and Philippe Schmitter. This group of individuals brought a rich and diverse set of talents to the table and represents—I believe—the very best of what Stanford University’s Department of Political Science had to offer in the 1990s. I thank them for having the confidence in me to back what was at the time an unusual path for a student of comparative politics at Stanford. By throwing their support behind this project, they helped me—and others—to see that some of our discipline’s seemingly greatest divides are not so insurmountable after all.

In particular, I thank Terry Karl for her careful and considered knowledge of Latin America and for reminding me, in both her comments and her example, that what we do should always have some bearing on the real world. I am also eternally grateful for her unwavering support through years of grant proposals and job applications, support that reflects her deeply committed and proactive approach to graduate student advising. I thank Terry Moe for those early conversations in which we shaped the broad contours of the argument and for pushing me always to see the project in its biggest light. I am grateful for Philippe’s extensive and penetrating comments on draft after draft of dissertation chapters and for his letting me know—early on—that he believed in what I was doing. Finally, I am especially indebted to Geoff Garrett, who did all of the above and then some. Without Geoff, I am quite sure that the entire endeavor would never have gotten off the ground.

Along the way, I also benefited greatly from discussions with various individuals who read or commented on different portions of this project. In particular, I would like to acknowledge the advice and suggestions of Robert Bates, Jorge Buendía, Don Coursey, Alberto Díaz, Jorge Domínguez, Sven Feldmann, Jeffrey Frieden, Robert Franzese, Brian Gaines, Charles Glaser, Lloyd Gruber, Stephan Haggard, Jeanne Kinney-Giraldo (several times!), Joseph Klesner, Stephen Krasner, Beatriz Magaloni, Kenneth Oye, Carlos Perez-Verdúa, Peter Smith, Duncan Snidal, Susan Stokes, and Barry Weingast. The argument is all the stronger for their input. I am also deeply indebted to my fine research assistants at both MIT and the University of

Chicago, including Ayhan Akman, Bela Prasad, Jayne Stancavage, Cesar Velásquez, and especially Douglas Bell.

I received material assistance during the course of working on this project from the Social Science Research Council, the Institute for the Study of World Politics, the Institute for International Studies of Stanford University, and the North America Forum at Stanford University. I also thank Stanford University's Center for Latin American Studies for providing me with office space and a congenial work environment during a crucial portion of my initial write-up period, as well as the Irving B. Harris Graduate School of Public Policy Studies at the University of Chicago for similar generosity. Finally, I thank the Department of Political Science at MIT for providing me with the space and time to finish the first draft of this book free of other obligations.

During my year at MIT, I benefited greatly from the rich and vibrant academic community that defines Cambridge. I am especially grateful to those at MIT—Steve Ansolabehere, Ricky Locke, Ken Oye, and Jim Snyder—who made a major effort to smooth my way into my first year of professional teaching and research, as well as to those at Harvard University who involved me in their seminars and gave me a chance to present my work. Since I have come to Chicago, my work has continued to profit enormously from the unique intellectual experience that is the University of Chicago. In this regard, I would like to recognize first and foremost my colleagues at the Irving B. Harris Graduate School of Public Policy Studies, who have taught me again and again the value of interdisciplinary exchange, while not allowing me to get away with any “hand waving” in my arguments. I have also benefited tremendously from the workshops around campus that form the core of the intellectual enterprise at the University of Chicago and that have sharpened my own analytical skills considerably. Here I would like to single out the Workshop on Comparative Politics, which has exposed me on a weekly basis to some of the finest talent in our subfield among both faculty and graduate students alike.

As is often the case in a project of this sort, some of the most helpful comments I have received have come in the wake of various conferences, seminars, and presentations along the way. While I cannot hope to thank everyone who has influenced my work in this regard, I am grateful to those who sparked my thinking in the wake of various APSA and LASA panels, as well as to participants at seminars I have given at the Harvard-MIT Research Training Group and the David Rockefeller Center for Latin American Studies at Harvard University, the Kellogg Institute at Notre Dame University, various venues at the University of Chicago, the Department of Political Science at the University of Illinois at Champagne-Urbana, the Department of Political Science at the University of Michigan, and the Department of Political

Science at the University of Rochester. They, too, have had an impact on the final product.

While in the field, I benefited from the help of a number of institutions and individuals. I am particularly grateful to the Center for Latin American Monetary Studies (CEMLA) in Mexico for offering me office space and extensive institutional support with which to carry out my research, as well as to the Autonomous Technological Institute of Mexico (ITAM) for lending me access to its library and e-mail facilities. Special personal thanks go to Luis Alberto Giorgio (CEMLA) as well as to Federico Estevez (ITAM) for facilitating these exchanges. I would like to express my gratitude to the United Nations Economic Commission on Latin America (CEPAL) in Chile for providing me with office space and institutional support and to Ricardo Ffrench-Davis for making this affiliation possible. One of the most rewarding aspects of fieldwork is those contacts one meets inadvertently along the way who subsequently blossom into lifelong friends and colleagues. In this regard, I would also like to acknowledge the help and friendship of Celso Garrido and Marco Riveros Keller.

In closing, I would like to recognize the most important contributor to my personal and professional well-being in these many years, my husband and colleague, Lloyd Gruber, who has been both my best friend and most ardent supporter from the very earliest stages of this project. Without him, I am convinced that I would never have made it through any of this, and I thank him for bearing with me and for providing me—through his own example—a model of scholarly and personal integrity.

