

Out of the Closets and into the Courts

# OUT OF THE CLOSETS & into the Courts

Legal Opportunity Structure and Gay Rights Litigation

ELLEN ANN ANDERSEN

THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN PRESS    ANN ARBOR

Copyright © by the University of Michigan 2005  
All rights reserved  
Published in the United States of America by  
The University of Michigan Press  
Manufactured in the United States of America  
⊗ Printed on acid-free paper

2008 2007 2006 2005 4 3 2 1

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored  
in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form  
or by any means, electronic, mechanical, or otherwise,  
without the written permission of the publisher.

*A CIP catalog record for this book is available from  
the British Library.*

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Andersen, Ellen Ann.

Out of the closets and into the courts : legal opportunity  
structure and gay rights litigation / Ellen Ann Andersen.  
p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-472-11397-6 (cloth : alk. paper)

1. Gays—Legal status, laws, etc.—United States. I. Title.

KF4754.5.A963 2004  
342.7308'7—dc22

2004014190

TO MY PARTNER,  
*Susanmarie Harrington,*

AND OUR DAUGHTER,  
*Sofia Ksenia Andersen Harrington*

# Contents

*List of Figures* ix

*List of Tables* x

*Acknowledgments* xi

## ONE

The Puzzle of Gay Rights Litigation 1

## TWO

LOS and the Emergence of Gay Rights Litigation 17

## THREE

An Overview of Lambda and Its Litigation 27

## FOUR

Sodomy Reform from Stonewall to *Bowers* 58

## FIVE

Sodomy Reform from *Bowers* to *Lawrence* 98

## SIX

The Law and Politics of Antigay Initiatives 143

## SEVEN

The Case of Same-Sex Marriage 175

## EIGHT

LOS and Legal Change 203

Afterword 219

*Notes* 235

*References* 261

*Table of Cases* 275

*Index* 281

## Figures

1. The stages of sodomy reform, 1960–2003	61
2. Comparison of states considering Model Penal Code in stages 2 and 3	73
3. Feeling thermometer ratings for lesbians and gay men, 1984–2000	115
4. Attitudes about same-sex sexual relations, 1973–2002	116
5. Support for employment rights, 1988–2000	117
6. Support for legal recognition of same-sex couples, 1996–2003	118
7. Number of states with laws specifically limiting marriage to opposite-sex couples	182

## Tables

1. Size and Scope of Lambda's Docket, 1973–82	30
2. Comparison of Lambda's Docket in Its First and Second Decades	38
3. Breakdown of Lambda's Income by Source, 1980–2002	45
4. Comparison of Lambda with Other Gay Legal Groups, 1996	47
5. Comparison of Lambda's Docket in Its Second and Third Decades	48
6. Comparison of Outgoing and Incoming Justices during Reagan's Presidency	76
7. Supreme Court Votes in <i>Bowers</i>	77
8. Ad-Hoc Task Force's Predictions in <i>Bowers</i>	88
9. Breakdown of Post- <i>Bowers</i> Sodomy Law Challenges	105
10. Lambda/ACLU Predictions about Supreme Court Voting Patterns in Hypothetical Sodomy Challenge	126
11. Breakdown of Antigay Initiatives and Referenda	144
12. Comparative Ranking of Justices in <i>Bowers</i> and <i>Romer</i>	163

## Acknowledgments

This book was years in the making, and I've accrued many debts in the course of its production. It was both inspired and informed by the ongoing efforts of legal activists to secure basic civil rights for lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgendered people. My thanks go first to the good people at the Lambda Legal Defense and Education Fund, who allowed me to set up camp in their New York office and plow through thirty years of their organizational records and case files. I am indebted in particular to Executive Director Kevin Cathcart, who gave me the initial permission to examine Lambda's records and whose generosity of spirit revealed itself in the many hours of conversation he spent with me. I am also indebted to Louanne Marchand, Fran Goldstein, Gillian Chi, and Marisol Velazquez, who moved mountains to accommodate both my practical needs (*Is there a desk I can use?*) and my scholarly interests (*Is there any way I can track down this decades-old case?*).

I benefited enormously from the insights of Lambda's litigators, both past and present, who provided me with a wealth of information about specific cases and patiently instructed me in the finer aspects of legal argument. Their voices are heard throughout this book, although in the interests of confidentiality they are rarely identified specifically.

Three women who served as Lambda's legal directors over the years must receive special mention because they each provided invaluable assistance to me. Abby Rubenfeld (director from 1983 to 1988) spent hours on the phone with me filling in the missing pieces of Lambda's legal and organizational history. Paula Ettelbrick (director from 1989 to 1993) did the same for later years. In addition, she planted the seed that germinated into a dissertation, and eventually this book, in the autumn of 1994 when she taught a seminar on sexual orientation and the law at the University of Michigan School of Law. My conversations with her and with my classmates convinced me that the progress of gay rights litigation offered an excellent lens through which to examine the subject of legal change. Beatrice Dohrn (director from 1993 to 2000), likewise filled in missing pieces for me. More than that, however, she pushed me to examine the



set of assumptions about the law and legal change I carried with me as a social scientist, which in some cases can be very different from the assumptions held by a practicing movement litigator. My conversations with her helped me to bridge the gap between the two worlds, or at least to recognize where such gaps existed.

Although my focus in this book is on Lambda and its litigation, I did not confine my inquiries to Lambda's litigators. I have benefited greatly from conversations held with others involved in litigation on behalf of gay rights. I am particularly grateful to Kathy Wilde, Michael Hardwick's lead counsel through much of the litigation over the constitutionality of Georgia's sodomy law. Her conversations with me helped me understand the early phase of the case. Beth Robinson was also a treasure. One of the three lead attorneys in the litigation over the constitutionality of Vermont's ban on same-sex marriage, Beth gave me great insight into the behind-the-scenes workings of activists trying to secure the right to same-sex marriage.

I owe myriad intellectual and personal debts to the members of my dissertation committee at the University of Michigan. Kent Jennings, Kim Lane Scheppele, Mayer Zald, and Mark Brandon all "own" a piece of this project. They worked with me for three years to complete the dissertation from which the book emerged, helping me to perform the intricate juggling act of interdisciplinary research. My gratitude is immeasurable.

Many other people also took a hand in this project. David Meyer, Catherine West-Newman, and Jeffrey Bernstein all helped me to engineer my theoretical approach to the subject of movements and legal change. Ken Sherrill read several parts of this manuscript; his insights as both a political scientist and an expert witness in gay rights cases helped me to hone my arguments considerably. Evan Gerstmann lent his ear and his perspective on more than one occasion. Bill Blomquist, Paisley Currah, Paula Denney, Margie Ferguson, Jyl Josephson, Julie Novkov, Liz Shapiro, Joan Sitomer, and Beth Windisch read and commented on chapters along the way, cheered me on, and otherwise pushed and pulled me through the process of writing. Panel members and discussants at various Law and Society meetings and American Political Science Association conferences offered helpful comments on individual chapters; here I'd like to extend special thanks to Scott Barclay, Bradley Canon, Lief Carter, and Michael McCann. Two anonymous reviewers read this manuscript closely and provided cogent critiques; I am very grateful for their time and careful attention to my work. I also need to thank the mar-

velous editors at the University of Michigan Press for their patience, support, and unwavering faith in this project.

The best parts of this book are the product of the conversations I had with the members of my dissertation committee, Lambda's litigators, and the myriad other people mentioned. They will recognize many of their suggestions in this project. They will also see some of what they warned me against. Any errors or oversights in the following pages are strictly my own.

Institutional support for the development and preparation of the manuscript came from an IUPUI School of Liberal Arts Summer Research Grant as well as a University of Michigan Gerald R. Ford Dissertation Fellowship. The marvelous Kinsey Institute at Indiana University, Bloomington, possessed a nearly complete collection of Lambda's early newsletters, and I am immensely grateful that an institution devoted to preserving historical documents pertaining to sex and sexuality exists.

Finally, Susanmarie Harrington has given me more than I could even begin to catalogue. I married her in 1995, just before I began the research for this project. How the world has changed since then. The concept of same-sex marriage was only beginning to seep into the public consciousness in 1995. As I write these acknowledgments, Vermont recognizes civil unions and Massachusetts issues marriage licenses to same-sex couples. Both of these changes occurred in large part thanks to the efforts of gay rights litigators. And, thanks again to gay rights litigators, Susan and I are both legally recognized as parents to our daughter. What this means is that my partner and I have acquired at least a few of the legal tools we need to protect and care for our family, tools that have long been denied to people in same-sex relationships. Lambda and other gay rights litigators are working hard to ensure that my family gets all the legal protection it needs, and for that I salute them.