Treatment of Error in Second Language Student Writing, Second Edition
Dana R. Ferris
http://press.umich.edu/titleDetailDesc.do?id=2173290
Michigan ELT, 2011

Index

academic texts: reading difficulties with, 162–163
Academic Word List, 70, 77n, 163, 170–171, 186, 190n
academic writing: differences in, 162, 179–180; expectations of students, 14–15, 123–125, 127; hedging, 181, 182–183; jargon, 162; passive voice, 163–164, 181, 182; persuasion markers, 181, 183; syntactic structures and, 180-184; teaching, 69–70, 160; use of nouns and verbs, 181–182.
Aebersold, J., 167
Anderson, N.J., 17
appropriation of student writing, 81, 97

Baker, W., 17, 23, 42, 43
Bates, L., 14, 32, 35, 40, 110
Bennett, G., 184, 186, 187, 190
Biber, D., 70, 180–182, 185
Bitchener, J., 17, 28, 30, 40, 87
Brannon, L., 81, 113
Brown, D., 104, 105
Brown, H.D., 32, 86
Bunting, J., 73, 179, 190
burnout, teacher, 108–110
Byrd, P., 68, 70, 73, 179, 190

Cambridge Academic Content Dictionary, 185
Cambridge Dictionary of American English (Cassidy, C-J), 185
Carter, R., 185, 186
CCCC (Conference on College Composition and Communication), 60
Chandler, J., 28, 39, 54
Chaney, S.J., 36, 83
children of resident immigrants. *See* Generation 1.5 student writers
citations, as related to vocabulary and writing, 173
classroom activities: corpus linguistics and, 185–187; editing and, 128–129, 134, 135, 139, 140; grammar instruction and, 142–145, 153–159, 195; peer review and, 135f, 148–150; reading and, 165–167; verbs, 134, 135, 142–143, 153–155; word choice errors and, 143–144, 156–159
coding of errors, 97, 99–105. *See also* computer-assisted error marking
Cohen, A., 22, 23, 24, 42, 43
collocations, 172
color-coded error marking, 104, 105
Compleat Lexical Tutor, 163, 186
computer-assisted error marking, 103–107, 121n. *See also* error coding
Conference on College Composition and Communication (CCCC), 60
Connor, U., 68
Conrad, S., 38, 70, 73, 176, 181, 182, 183, 184, 186, 190
contrastive approach to language acquisition, 68
Corder, S.P., 1–2
corpus linguistics: classroom activities, 185–187; electronic text analysis, 186–187; student resources, 185–186; teacher resources, 185, 191n; textbooks, 186; use of, 69–70, 77n

Treatment of Error in Second Language Student Writing, Second Edition
Dana R. Ferris
http://press.umich.edu/titleDetailDesc.do?id=2173290
Michigan ELT, 2011

corrective feedback. *See* error correction
Coxhead, A., 68, 70, 73, 167, 170, 174, 185, 190
Crusan, D., 106–107, 113

dictionaries, student, 185–186
difficulty levels, vocabulary, 162
direct feedback, 31–34, 49, 51, 93–97, 98

ear learners, 5, 37, 84
editing: awareness of error patterns, 130, 131, 133; as a classroom activity, 128–129, 134, 135, 139, 140; importance of, 123–125, 127–129, 195; peer review, 147–150; principles of, 130–131, 132; student attitudes toward, 123–125, 130–131; in timed situations, 138, 141; and vocabulary analysis, 177–178
Ellis, R., 12, 30, 31, 54, 87
employer attitudes about student language abilities, 14–15, 123–125
error: definition, 3; evaluation of, 2–3; differences in students', 82–87; local, 35-36, 87-88; as part of language development, 1–2; treatable, 36-37; types of, 36–37, 80–82, 83, 88–91; untreatable, 36-37
error charts, 40–41, 51, 130, 133, 136–137
error coding, 97, 99–105. *See also* computer-assisted error marking
error correction: adequacy of feedback, 20, 22–24, 50, 55n; balance in, 67–69; benefits of, 12–15; criteria for, 81–87; effective methods not used, 59–60; examples of, 114–120; historic practices, 7–9; and L2 acquisition, 9–10, 86–87; and language differences, 83–85; long-term effects of, 12–15, 26–29, 108; methods of, 17n, 18n, 29–36, 38–41, 79–81, 87–97, 98, 100–102, 110–112; opposition to, 11, 42, 57–58, 61–62, 78; prioritizing in, 87–91; revising and, 24-29; research on, 12–15, 26–29; timing of, 38-39, 91-93; variables in, 22–24, 50, 55n
Eskey, D.E., 8–9, 152
essay writing, time management and, 138-139, 140, 141
Evans, N., 14, 17, 31, 54, 79, 92
extensive reading, 167–168
eye learners, 5, 83–84

Fathman, A., 26, 92
feedback, 30-31, 51; *see also* direct feedback; error correction; indirect feedback
Ferris, D.R., 6, 7, 8, 11, 12, 14, 17, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 47, 48, 49, 50, 54, 58–59, 60, 70, 73, 79, 81, 82, 83, 85, 89, 92, 94, 99, 100, 102, 105, 108, 109, 110, 113, 123, 128, 129, 130, 133, 136, 142, 147, 152, 161, 167, 174, 179, 184, 186, 190
focused feedback, 30–31, 51
Folse, K.S., 73, 100, 146, 167, 168, 170-173, 174-175, 190
Frantzen, D., 25, 26, 32, 33, 39, 40, 42
Frodesen, J., 32, 40, 141, 152

Generation 1.5 student writers, 3–7, 18n, 37, 84
genre analysis, 68
global errors, 35–36, 87–88
grading criteria, university, 126
graduation requirements for writing, 125–127, 138
grammar instruction: as a classroom activity, 142–145, 153–159, 195; components of, 141–142;

Treatment of Error in Second Language Student Writing, Second Edition
Dana R. Ferris
http://press.umich.edu/titleDetailDesc.do?id=2173290
Michigan ELT, 2011

lesson plans, 65–67; research on, 39–40; self-study resources for, 146–147; student need for, 43, 51; teacher knowledge of, 57–58, 62–64, 70–72; text selection and, 144–145

Harklau, L., 3–4, 6, 82
Hartshorn, J.K., 14, 17, 31, 54, 79, 92
Hedgcock, J.S., 7, 32, 38, 42, 43, 45, 47, 105, 109, 110, 129, 133, 161, 167, 186, 190
hedging, 181, 182–183
Hendrickson, J.M., 35, 36, 54, 133
Hirvela, A., 161, 167
Holten, C., 40, 141, 152
Hsu, A.Y-P., 11, 12, 26
Hyland, K., 181, 182–183, 183, 190

indirect feedback, 31–34, 49, 51, 93–97
intensive reading, 167
international student writers, 4–5, 83–84

jargon in academic writing, 162
Johns, A.M., 7, 10, 15, 68, 73, 124

Kaplan, R.B., 68
Knoblauch, C.H., 81, 113
Knoch, U., 17, 28, 30, 40, 87
Krashen, S.D., 42, 61, 68, 78, 130, 161

labels, error, 101–102, 103. *See also* error coding
LaLande, J.F., II, 28, 32, 33, 39, 40, 41, 54, 94, 133
Lane, J., 14, 32, 35, 40, 100, 110, 133, 146
Lange, E., 14, 32, 35, 40, 100, 110, 133, 146
language acquisition: contrastive approach, 68; ear learners and, 5, 37, 84; and errors, 86–87; eye learners and, 5, 83–84; factors in, 9–10; long-term strategies for, 167; process approach, ix, 8–10, 68, 78; teacher knowledge of, 67–68; and writing style development, 137
learning styles differences, 4–7, 37, 83–84
Lefkowitz, N., 42, 43, 45, 47, 129
Leki, I., 9, 10, 17, 34, 42, 43, 44, 45, 94
lesson plans, 65–67, 74–76
Liu, H., 24, 59, 60
Longman Dictionary of Contemporary English, 185
Losey, K., 3–4, 82
Lunsford, A.A., 11, 22, 59, 62, 82, 113
Lunsford, K.J., 22, 59, 62, 82, 113

McCarthy, M., 185, 186
metalinguistic information, 100, 103, 121n
methodology in research. *See* research methodology issues
Montgomery, J.L., 17, 23, 42, 43

Nation, I.S.P., 170, 185
Nelson, G.L., 10
nouns: in academic writing, 101, 102, 181–182

one-on-one conferences, 38, 51, 104–105

paraphrasing, 173
passive voice in writing, 163–164, 181, 182
peer review: classroom activities, 135, 148–150; research on, 38; value of, 147–148
persuasion markers, 181, 183
plagiarism, 173
Polio, C., 12, 39, 40, 97, 113
process approach to language acquisition, ix, 8–10, 68, 78

Rabie, B., 24, 59, 60, 73
Raimes, A., 7, 100, 146

Treatment of Error in Second Language Student Writing, Second Edition
Dana R. Ferris
http://press.umich.edu/titleDetailDesc.do?id=2173290
Michigan ELT, 2011

reading: academic texts, 162–163; benefits of, 161, 167–169; classroom activities for, 165–167; language analysis benefits of, 166–167; readability levels, 164; syntactic structures and, 163–164; text selection and, 161–165
Reid, J., 4–5, 17, 32, 47, 81, 83, 91, 97, 108, 110, 113, 129, 152, 179–180, 187
Rennie, C., 42, 45, 129
Reppen, R., 184, 186, 187, 190
research methodology issues, 47, 52; contextual, 48–49, 52; control groups, 26–29; feedback method, 49; longitudinal studies, 48–49, 52
resident immigrant student writers, 4–5, 84
Rissel, D., 25, 26, 40
Robb, T., 32, 34, 45
Robbins, M., 22, 23, 54
Roberge, M.M., 6, 17, 82
Roberts, B.J., 25, 30, 32, 34, 36, 41, 42, 45, 54, 85, 94, 99, 128, 129, 130, 136, 142
Ross, S., 32, 34, 45

Sachs, R., 12, 97, 113
Scarcella, R.C., 11, 15, 96, 124
Schmitt, D., 186
Schmitt, N., 185, 186
Schuemann, C., 168, 173, 181, 190
self-editing. *See* editing
Seymour, S., 161, 167
Sheen, Y., 30, 40, 54, 87, 113
Shortreed, L, 32, 34, 45
Siegal, M., 3–4, 6, 82
Silva, T., 7, 9, 10
Sommers, N., 68, 81, 92
Straub, R., 44, 81, 102
students: 14–15, 123–125, 127; attitudes toward errors, 130–131; backgrounds of, 3–7, 85; and editing, 123–125, 130–131; employer attitudes toward, 14–15, 123–125; language proficiency of, 86–87; learning styles differences of, 4–7, 37, 83–84; peer review and, 38, 147–150; reaction to feedback, 13–14, 24–26, 42–46, 49–52, 81, 102, 104, 108, 127–129; resources for, 146–147, 185–186; teacher conferences with, 38, 51, 104–105; tracking progress of, 133
Swales, J., 44, 68
syllabus development, 193–194
syntactic structures in texts, 163–164

Tardy, C.M., 68
teacher education: creating lesson plans, 65–67, 193–196; error correction, 64–65; grammar knowledge of, 57–58, 62–64; principles of language acquisition, 67–70; preparing for L2 instruction, 60–61, 70–72, 74–76, 77n
teachers: appropriation of student writing and, 81, 97; burnout avoidance, 108–110; error correction views, 13–14, 61–62; lacking error feedback techniques, 57–60; lesson plans, 65–67, 74–76; resources for, 121n, 185, 191n; strategies for feedback, 109–112; syllabus development, 193–194; variables in error correction, 22–24, 50, 55n. *See also* teacher education
text analysis, electronic, 163, 178, 186–187
text selection for reading, 161–165, 193
TextSTAT, 187
Truscott, J., 11, 12, 13, 17, 24, 26, 27, 29, 35, 36, 42, 43, 49, 57–58, 61, 78, 82, 108, 112, 122, 130

Treatment of Error in Second Language Student Writing, Second Edition
Dana R. Ferris
http://press.umich.edu/titleDetailDesc.do?id=2173290
Michigan ELT, 2011

University Word List, 170

verbs: in academic writing, 100–101, 102, 181–182; classroom activities for, 134, 135, 142–143, 153–155
vocabulary development, 162–163, 170–178, 195. *See also* Academic Word List
Vocabulary Myths (Folse), 170

Walsh, L, 161, 167
Warschauer, M., 113
Whalley, E., 26, 92
Williams, J.M., 2, 17, 58
word choice errors: classroom activities, 143–144, 156–159
writing, teaching of: academic writing, 69–70, 160; alternative methods of correction, 38–41; changes in, 7–12; and correction at different stages of writing, 38–39, 56n; error correction benefits, 12–15, 24–29; grading criteria, 126f; and L2 acquisition, 9–10; syllabus development and, 193–194; vocabulary learning and, 170–173

Xue, G., 170

Zamel, V., 22, 42, 61, 68, 78, 92, 113
Zhang, S., 9, 10, 152