

# Subject Index

- Access and consent, 60–61; IRB (Institutional Review Board) approval, 60–61
- Adviser, xvii–xviii, 6, 95–112; and mentor, 99; and non–native English users, 99–100; gender, 100; interest in students, 101; knowledge, 102, 103; learning from students, 107; personality, 102; reluctance, 100, 107; reputation, 103; research interests, 102; role, 22, 100–101; search for, 96–99, 101–102; styles, 99
- Adviser–advisee relationship, 99, 103–106; changing adviser, 104–106; compatibility, 101–102; expectations, 99–100, 103; managing interactions, 107–108; match and mismatch, 98, 107; mutual interest, 101; responsibilities, 103–104
- Approaches. *See* Methods
- Attrition, xvii, 14, 17. *See also* Drop out
- Cohort. *See* Supportive people
- Common problems, 4–9; adviser problems, 8; appropriateness of topic, 7; lack of guidance, 8; lack of purpose, 7; real-life obstacles, 9, 27
- Connections. *See* Departmental connections; Supportive people
- Departmental connections, 120; events, 120–121
- Demands, procedures, and resources, 19–22; basic questions, 20–21; homework, 20; human and material resources, 21–22
- Dissertation stage vs. coursework stage, xvi, 5
- Dissertation and thesis guides, 9–10, 127–128
- Drop out, 17–19; Jane’s story, 18; lack of fit, 17; lack of support, 17; rates, 17
- Foundational issues, 1–11, 13–17
- Framing, 89–90. *See also* Theories and concepts
- Isolation, 6–7, 115, 116, 119, 137
- L2. *See* Second language
- Literature search, 77, 132–134. *See also* Reading
- Mentor, 109–111; as co-learner, 109; as emotional support, 109–110; as role model, 109; long-term mentor-mentee relationship, 110–111

## 150 Subject Index

---

- Methods, 57–59; mixed, 58, 59;  
philosophical compatibility, 59;  
qualitative, 10, 58, 59; quantitative, 57–58, 59
- Mixed-method projects. *See* Methods
- Multilingual project, 61–62; collecting data in L2, 61; translation, 61
- Myths, 1, 13, 31, 47, 65, 81, 95, 113, 125
- Plagiarism, 37; paraphrasing and quoting, 36–37
- Pre-dissertation homework, leg work and heart work, 11
- Qualitative projects. *See* Methods
- Quality of life, 24–28; advice, 28; fatigue, 25; health, 25; isolation, 26; real-life demands, 27; time management, 28
- Quantitative projects. *See* Methods
- Reading, 65–79; becoming familiar with author names, 73, 74, 77; “cerebral hygiene,” 70; conceptual and empirical readings, 74–76; early reading, 66, 68–69, 71; help from adviser and library staff, 72; intensive and extensive reading, 68, 71, 133–134; key word searches, 73; later reading, 69–70; locating sources, 72–74; primary and secondary sources, 91; print and electronic sources, 72–73; reading every word, 65, 67; reading notes, 73–74, 76; reading quickly or slowly, 66; relevant works, 69; saturation point, 77; situating one’s work, 69, 76, 77, 132–134; and theory development, 88; too much reading, 70. *See also* Literature search; Topic refinement
- Reasons for pursuing a doctorate, 14–17; establish authority, 15; improve confidence, 15; improve job situation, 15; promise to self, 16; weak reasons, 16–17
- Role of researcher, 62–63; first-person pronouns, 62, 135; locating self in project as insider or outsider, 62–63, 135–136; passive voice, 62, 136
- Second language (L2), 23–24; advantages, 23–24; reading and writing in L2, 23, 56; translation, 24, 61; writing in L2, 58–59
- Sense of humor, 3
- Stories, Christine Tardy, 92; “Eriko,” 50–51; Harry Wolcott, 70–71; Jane, 18; Laura Kusaka, 38–39; “Mariko,” 97–98; my own, 96–97; Natsuko Kuwahara, 116–117, 122; “Ryoko,” 51–52
- Study group. *See* Supportive people
- Supervisor. *See* Adviser
- Supportive people, 113–124; advisers and professors, 136–137; classmates, 119–120; cohort,

- 117–118; colleagues, family, and friends, 113–115, 121–122; committee members, 136; study groups, 118–119; support networks, 116–117; writing partner, 136
- “Textual mentor,” 3–4
- Theoretical and conceptual frameworks. *See* Framing; Theories and concepts
- Theories and concepts, 81–94; abstraction, 83, 84; assumptions, 83; Big T/small t, 85, 88; connections and relationships, 87; definitions, 86–87; facts and theories, 82; fads, 90; fear of, 85–86, 87–88; framing, 82, 83, 84, 87, 88, 89–90; lip service, 90–91; love of, 86; patience with, 91–93; reading, 88; resistance to, 81–82; skepticism, 83–84
- Topic development, 47–64; adviser’s interest, 50; choice, 48; choosing method, 47; construction development, 48; inflexibility, 49; feasibility, 49; fixed ideas, 51–52; isolation, 53; need for guidance, 53; need for real questions, 50, 52–53, 55; need for time, 53; reading; researchability, 49; stories, 50–53; too many ideas, 50–51; topic that works, 48–49. *See also* Reading; Topic refinement
- Topic ideas, 54–57; experiences with cultures and second languages, 55–56; own life, 54, 57; personal or political beliefs, 55; unique, 56–57
- Topic refinement, 125–138; advice from guidebooks, 127–128; conference presentations, 132–133; developing a proposal, 126; pilot studies, 131; project title, 127–128; purpose statements, 128; reading comprehensively or selectively, 133–134; seeking ongoing input, 136–137; situating project in literature, 132–134; time alone and long talks, 129–130; zig-zagging, 129. *See also* Literature search; Reading
- Translation, 24, 61
- Writing, 31–44; about emotions, 41–42; academic letters, 34, 43; dissertation journals, 34, 44; field notes, 33, 34; index cards, 36; in L2, 58–59; paraphrases, 36–37; personal, 37–40, 41–42; proposals, 48; quotations, 36; record of readings, 35–36, 73; record of decisions, 40–41; reflective journals, 32–33; research memos, 33, 38–39