

INDEX

- behavioral models, 1, 3, 8, 11, 13, 15, 103–4. *See also* social-welfare example; voter-turnout example
- binary outcomes, 111–23
- centering, 21–22, 49, 93–99
- chained interaction, 39–42, 59–60, 73–74
- Classical Linear Regression Model, assumptions, 17, 47, 83, 107–11, 124–29
- cluster, 126–30
- colinearity, 7, 77, 93–99, 113
- conditional effects. *See* marginal effects
- confidence intervals: hourglass shape, 64–65, 73–74, 84–85
hypothesis tests, 47–49, 51–53, 61–78
marginal effects, 61–78
predicted values, 79–88
predicted values, differences in, 88–91
- Cox, Gary W. *See* presidential-candidates example
- Cronbach, Lee J., 93–99
- cumulative probability distribution function, 114, 119, 135
- delta method, 119–23
- derivative/first derivatives. *See* differentiation
- deterministic (vs. stochastic) relationships, 5–6, 14, 16–18, 110, 123–30
- dichotomous variables. *See* dummy variables, dependent and independent
- differences in predicted values. *See* predicted values
- differentiation, 20, 22–43, 50, 61–78, 88–91, 111–23, 134–35. *See also* marginal effects
- direct vs. indirect effects, 19–22
- discrete changes, effects of, 26–28, 88–91, 114, 119. *See also* dummy variables, independent; ordinal variables; predicted values
- dummy variables, dependent. *See* binary outcomes
- dummy variables, independent, 27, 28–29, 67–68, 74–75, 85–86, 88–89, 103–11
- feasible generalized least squares, 110, 127–28, 129

- feasible weighted least squares, 128, 129
- F*-tests, 45, 50–51, 54–60, 73, 108–10
- government-duration example, 29–32, 34–37, 39–42, 44, 56–60, 69–78, 86–88, 91, 137
- graphing: choosing values to graph, 61–66, 141–42
- marginal effects with confidence intervals, 61–87, 136–40
 - predicted values with confidence intervals, 88–91, 141–44
- heteroskedasticity, 17, 109–11, 124–30
- hierarchical models, 5, 17, 123–30
- hierarchical testing, 99–102
- homoskedasticity, 17, 109–11, 124–30
- Huber-White standard errors, 126, 128
- hypothesis tests, 43–60
- directional, 45–50, 53–55, 57
 - null hypothesis, defined, 45
- independent vs. total effects, 19–22
- institutions/institutional analysis, 2–3, 8–11. *See also* government-duration example; hierarchical models; presidential-candidates example
- interactive arguments, 2–3, 8–12, 14–16
- lincom (STATA command), 61, 138, 144, 146
- linear-additive model, 1–2, 14, 20, 46
- logarithmic transformations, 27, 32, 34–37, 58–59, 70–73, 87–88, 91, 135
- logit/logistic. *See* binary outcomes
- main vs. interactive effects, 19–21, 23, 43–44, 64
- marginal effects, 22–43, 44–60, 61–78, 88–91, 111–23, 132, 136–41, 144, 146
- confidence intervals, 61–87, 136–40
 - standard errors, 48, 52, 58–59, 119–22
- mean-centering, mean-rescaling. *See* centering
- mediating vs. moderating variables, 3
- moderating vs. mediating variables, 3
- multilevel models. *See* hierarchical models
- nonlinear models, 5, 28, 111–23
- nonlinear transformations. *See* logarithmic transformations; quadratic transformations; slope-shift models; spline models
- omitted-variable bias, 46, 93–94, 99–102
- ordinal variables, 26–27, 103, 115–16
- pairwise interaction, 40–42, 74–78
- path analysis, 3
- pooled-sample vs. separate-sample estimation, 5, 103–11
- postestimation commands, 48, 61, 81, 122–23, 138. *See also* lincom
- predicted probabilities, 115, 118–19, 122–23
- differences in and standard errors around, 119, 122–23
- predicted values, 25–26, 28–39, 61, 78–92, 141–44. *See also* predicted probabilities
- differences in and standard errors around, 25–26, 33–34, 36, 88–92
 - confidence intervals, 78–92
 - standard errors, 78–92, 141–44
- presidential-candidates example, 13–14, 18–21, 23–26, 44, 51–54, 62–67, 81–85, 90–91
- principal-agent models, 10–11, 13
- probability density function, 114, 135
- probit. *See* binary outcomes
- quadratic transformations, 32–34, 35, 57, 69–71, 86, 91

- random-coefficient models. *See* random-effects models
- random-effects models, 5, 17, 123–30
- robust standard errors, 126–30
- separate-sample estimation vs.
 - pooled-sample estimation, 5, 103–11
- slope-shift models, 37–39
- social-welfare example, 27–29, 44, 54–56, 67–68, 85–86, 106–8
- spatial correlation, 11, 126
- spline models, 37–39
- standard errors: of coefficient estimates. *See* variance-covariance matrix, defined
 - of differences in predicted values. *See* predicted values, differences in and standard errors around
 - of marginal effects. *See* marginal effects, standard errors
 - of predicted values. *See* predicted values, standard errors
- STATA, 48, 61, 129, 136–46
- statistical tests of interactive arguments, 43–60
- stochastic vs. deterministic relationships, 5–6, 14, 16–18, 110, 123–30
- tables: choosing values to list, 61–66, 141–42
 - differences in predicted values and standard errors around, 88–91, 141
 - marginal effects and standard errors around, 52–57, 136–40
 - predicted values and standard errors around, 78–88, 141–44
 - temporal correlation, 11, 126
 - three-way interactions, 39–42, 59–60, 73–78
 - threshold model, 37–39
 - total vs. independent effects, 19–22
 - t*-tests, 43–60, 72–73, 99–102, 108–11
- variance: of differences in predicted values. *See* predicted values, differences in and standard errors around
 - of forecast error, 47
 - of a linear combination of constants and random variables, 47, 80–81
 - of marginal effects. *See* marginal effects, standard errors
 - of predicted values. *See* predicted values, standard errors
- variance-covariance matrix
 - defined, 48
 - examples, 52, 55, 56, 121, 138–45
- voter-turnout example, 115–22
- White's robust standard errors, 125–30