

Contents

List of Figures xi

List of Tables xv

Acknowledgements xvii

Preface xxi

Chapter 1 Introduction 1

The Purpose of a Supreme Court 3

The Fundamental Irreconcilability of Decisiveness
and Consistency 5

Motivating a Theory of Unreasoned Judgment 8

A Theory of Inconsistency 12

Jurisdiction Condition 12

Case Characteristics Condition 14

Preference Condition 14

Doctrinal Condition 15

Looking Forward 17

Chapter 2 Measuring Unreasoned Judgments 20

Unreasoned Judgment: Formal Definition 23

Unreasoned Judgments Vary in Visibility 25

Plurality Decisions as Doctrinal Paradoxes 28

Opinions Per Curiam as Unreasoned Judgments 30

Properties of Argued and Nonargued Per Curiams 32

The Rate of Unreasoned Judgments 35

Properties of Unreasoned Judgments 36

Conclusion 38

Chapter 3	The Emergence of Unreasoned Judgments in Individual Cases	40
	Introduction	40
	Operationalizing the Case-Level Theory of Unreasoned Judgment	45
	Data	52
	Results	52
	Discussion: Court Curbing and the Doctrinal Paradox	57
	Conclusion	57
Chapter 4	The Supreme Court's Evolution away from Unreasoned Judgment	59
	Introduction	59
	Unreasoned Judgment over Time	66
	Agenda Control and Unreasoned Judgment	67
	Descriptive Analysis	71
	Control Variables	73
	Analysis	74
	Discussion	77
	Conclusion	79
Chapter 5	The Deference Shown to Unreasoned Precedents	83
	What Is Precedent?	89
	Types of Citations across a Judicial Hierarchy	90
	Measuring Precedent	92
	Criticizing Unreasoned Judgments	95
	Data	98
	An Alternative Test of Construct Validity: Conflict Cases	99
	Control Variables	100
	Results	101
	Conclusion	104
Chapter 6	Does Congress Curb an Inconsistent Court?	107
	How Congress Curbs the Court	110
	Institutional Responses Beyond Curbing Are Limited and Unsuccessful	112
	Can the Court Inspire Curbing with Unreasoned Judicial Review?	112
	Can the Court Inspire Curbing via Unreasoned Judgment?	114
	Multivariate Analysis	115
	Conclusion	116

Chapter 7	Unreasoned Judgment and Public Support	118
	For the U.S. Supreme Court	118
	Decision Attributes and Institutional Support	123
	Experimental Design	128
	Results	130
	The Moderating Effects of Knowledge	133
	Discussion and Conclusion	137
Chapter 8	Do We Need a New “Inferior” Court?	140
	Concluding Thoughts	140
	Judicial Review	146
	Circuit Conflicts	147
	Implications for Judicial Behavior	149
	Where Do We Go from Here?	150
	Future Directions	153
	Where Do Societies Want Inconsistency?	155
Appendix A	Unreasoned Judgment Mathematical Definitions	158
Appendix B	Technical Details and Supplemental Analyses	161
	Chapter 2	161
	Chapter 3	161
	Chapter 4	164
	Chapter 5	166
	Chapter 6	169
	Chapter 7	171
Appendix C	Experimental Materials	174
	Demographic Materials	174
	Supreme Court Knowledge	174
	Political Knowledge	175
	Experimental Treatments	175
	Outcome Variables	178
	Manipulation Checks	180
	<i>Notes</i>	181
	<i>References</i>	188
	<i>Index</i>	201

Inconsistency and Indecision in the United States Supreme Court

Matthew P. Hitt

https://www.press.umich.edu/10087106/inconsistency_and_indecision_in_the_united_states_supreme_court

University of Michigan Press, 2019

List of Figures

Figure 1.1	Workload of the Supreme Court 1946–2010	7
Figure 1.2	Flow Chart of the Emergence of Unreasoned Judgment	17
Figure 2.1	The Visibility of Unreasoned Judgments	27
Figure 2.2	Unreasoned Judgments in Plurality Decisions, 1946–2010	31
Figure 2.3	Unreasoned Judgments in Opinions Per Curiam, 1946–2010	35
Figure 2.4	Rate of Unreasoned Judgments, 1946–2010	36
Figure 2.5	Unreasoned Judgments by Issue Area	37
Figure 2.6	Case Salience and Unreasoned Judgments	38
Figure 3.1	Proportion of Decisions Exercising Judicial Review, by the Doctrinal Paradox	50
Figure 3.2	Percentage of Decisions Made to Resolve Circuit Split by Consistency of Decision	51
Figure 3.3	Probability of Unreasoned Judgment by Circuit Conflict, 95 Percent Confidence Intervals	53
Figure 3.4	Probability of Unreasoned Judgment by Disordered Coalition, 95 Percent Confidence Intervals	54
Figure 3.5	Probability of the Doctrinal Paradox by Case Salience, 95 Percent Confidence Intervals	55
Figure 3.6	Probability of the Doctrinal Paradox by Civil Liberties Cases, 95 Percent Confidence Intervals	56

Figure 3.7	Probability of the Doctrinal Paradox by Judicial Review, 95 Percent Confidence Intervals	56
Figure 4.1	Rate of Unreasoned Judgments, 1946–2010	66
Figure 4.2	Workload of the Supreme Court, Before and After 1988	71
Figure 4.3	The Trajectory of Unreasoned Judgments, 1946–2010	72
Figure 4.4	The Trajectory of the Rate of Unreasoned Judgments, 1946–2010	73
Figure 4.5	Effect of 1988 Legislation on the Incidence of Unreasoned Judgments	75
Figure 4.6	Effect of 1988 Legislation on the Rate of Unreasoned Judgments	76
Figure 4.7	Mandatory Caseload and Petitions 1946–2010	77
Figure 4.8	Salient Decisions by the Court, Before and After 1988	78
Figure 4.9	Courts of Appeals Caseload and Certiorari Grants 1946–2010	81
Figure 5.1	Predicted Count of Positive Treatments, Unreasoned Judgments vs. Consistent, 95 Percent Confidence Intervals	101
Figure 5.2	Predicted Count of Total Citations, Unreasoned Judgments vs. Consistent, 95 Percent Confidence Intervals	102
Figure 6.1	Court Curbing Bills 1946–2010	111
Figure 6.2	Court Curbing Bills and Unreasoned Exercises of Judicial Review	113
Figure 6.3	Court Curbing Bills by Previous Term's Rate of Unreasoned Judgment	114
Figure 7.1	Effect of Unreasoned Judgment on Diffuse Support	131
Figure 7.2	Effect of Unreasoned Judgment on Decision Agreement	131
Figure 7.3	Effect of Unreasoned Judgment on Decision Acceptance	132

Figure 7.4	Effect of Unreasoned Judgment on Trust in Government	132
Figure 7.5	Effect of Unreasoned Judgment on Trust in Government, by General Political Knowledge	135
Figure 7.6	Effect of Unreasoned Judgment on Trust in Government, by Court Knowledge	136
Figure 7.7	Effect of Unreasoned Judgment on Diffuse Support, by Court Knowledge	137
Figure 7.8	Political Interest by Supreme Court Knowledge	138

Inconsistency and Indecision in the United States Supreme Court

Matthew P. Hitt

https://www.press.umich.edu/10087106/inconsistency_and_indecision_in_the_united_states_supreme_court

University of Michigan Press, 2019

List of Tables

Table 1.1	Unreasoned Judgment in <i>McDonald</i>	2
Table 1.2	Unreasoned Judgment in <i>Burson</i>	10
Table 4.1	Unreasoned Judgment in <i>Francis</i>	62
Table 5.1	Unreasoned Judgment in <i>Tidewater</i>	85
Table 5.2	Top Ten Precedents by Supreme Court Citations and Aggregated Follows	95
Table 7.1	Unreasoned Judgment in <i>Watts</i>	120
Table 7.2	Demographic Characteristics of Experimental Sample	130
Table B.1	Differences Between Argued and Nonargued Per Curiam Opinions	162
Table B.2	Logit and Multinomial Probit Estimates of the Case-Level Correlates of Unreasoned Judgment	163
Table B.3	ARFIMA Estimates of the Effect of the 1988 Agenda Control Legislation on the Incidence and Rate of Unreasoned Judgment	165
Table B.4	Negative Binomial Estimates of the Effect of Unreasoned Judgments on Subsequent Treatments in Lower Federal Court Opinions	167
Table B.5	OLS Estimates of the Effect of Unreasoned Judgments on Subsequent (Standardized) Treatments in Lower Federal Court Opinions	168

Table B.6	Negative Binomials Estimates of the Effect of Unreasoned Judgments on Subsequent Treatments in Lower Federal Court Opinions	169
Table B.7	ARFIMA Estimates of the Effect of the Unreasoned Judgment on Court-curbing	171
Table B.8	OLS Estimates of the Moderating Effect of Political Knowledge on Exposure to an Unreasoned Judgment	172
Table B.9	OLS Estimates of the Moderating Effect of Supreme Court Knowledge on Exposure to an Unreasoned Judgment	173
Table C.1	Plurality Opinion/Doctrinal Paradox Treatment	177