

10⁰³ Mr Chambers

6-7

02

No review

76 Atty Gen let us on am by Cong. This > state decision

X- do we assume Romero was wrong?

Reed + Thurmond

All is very tentative

X- what is 1866?

10³⁰

10³⁰ Mr Kaplan

5-6

short baldy 45 yrs

1883 is + proper pt of departure - Civil Rts Case

stat not in reach private acts v disson

1964 Act, in Rts, in anger

Federalism has b disregarded, for Rts has b eroded + take care v the prob.

AS in trying + act neutral

A why is this left for any other wrong case, + state D.

This is a weakness in her argument

X- both allow briefs for both sides

10- Jones meet in b Oked. !!

10- PS in Jones had one v his recent opinion.

DR

K-O-W-EJ-A

No

A M X-S

11⁰⁰

11⁰⁰ Mr C

Part v + X

As no ask for attention v Romero

11⁰³