

No. 88-854) Spallone v. United States
No. 88-856) Chema v. United States
No. 88-870) Longo v. United States
Argued: October 2, 1989

2^o Mr. Harmon

4

had blood/gay Ho Kyle

Legis immunity

Comment decree - City is bound

K- yes a monetary fine can be imposed on + City

Agmt + act in future in a comment decree is ^{in effect} ~~in mind~~, that for

X- Yes Chema later voted + City has voted us + comment decree in 1st instance

W- @ K.R.D.'s rigid pasting v return

City's order totally unnes

2³⁰ red light

2³⁰ SG

(the first argmt here - it's anyone anyone) gestures

4 L Cl "cost - bound"

DCT refused + b a housing czar v Yonkers.

app 357 DCT served his papers 6/88 after comment-decree
City came forward with no attempt
City chose to + City - tax is all.

Project immunity, please.

idea here one's obligation to law

City ch on debt Law + argmt - So D Cl.
Comment decree is final

City at anyone to himself

W. of - A - H

+
B - M - X - S

O?

3⁰⁰